UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,706	02/25/2004	Paul Swenson	01841-22363.NP	3682
	7590 11/16/201 TH & WESTERN, LL	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1219		FIELDS, BENJAMIN S		
SANDY, UT 84091-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3684	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rich@tnw.com causse@tnw.com patentdocket@tnw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/786,706	SWENSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BENJAMIN S. FIELDS	3684		

	BENJAMIN S. FIELDS	3684	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 November 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ Will not be entered, or b) ☐ Will rided below or appended.	i be entered and an e.	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-21.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Notes below.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Thomas Dixon/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3684	

The Examiner maintains the following position in regards the prior art references which have been cited as teaching the limitations of the claims of the instant application:

Claims 1-2, 4-9, and 11-21 stand rejected per the Examiner. The Examiner has noted issues with the claims. These are:

1 - as amended recite and seemingly represent actions which can not effectively be claimed. In particular, focus on: "... a campaign designed to educate; flags being arranged so as to stimulate an emotional response; to heighten the emotional response." The Examiner notes that such limitations per Applicants disclosure do not reasonably enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention of the instant application. One of ordinary skill in the art would not have known how to consistently arrange flags to "stimulate an emotional response" or "heighten [an] emotional response" with fairly consistent tests/trails or results and without undue experimentation and/or guesswork. Furthermore, there is no definitive guarantee that such goal or attempt to "stimulate an emotional response" or "heighten [an] emotional response" will actually occur.

## 2 - In regards the prior art references:

Harmon does teach and suggest the funding of a charity or a group of charities. The Exhibit U and Harmon references, at least, when combined, teach or suggest all of the elements of independent Claim 1, even as amended. Specifically, the Exhibit U in combination with the Harmon reference does teach that a sponsor (buyers/sellers) initially pays for an item [flag] followed by selling the item to raise additional funds for a selected charity. The Exhibit U reference discloses a planned 30 acre national park and museum dedicated to the honor of the U.S. flag. (See page 1). The reference discloses plans for a "Field of Honor" containing over one-hundred granite memorial markers, as well as a "Flags of Freedom Monument" containing state and colonial flags located around 5 pools forming the shape of a star. Harmon, however, does teach or suggest a sponsor that initially pays for a charitable event prior to its commencement, with at least a portion of proceeds being donated to the charitable cause (Harmon: Figure 2; Page 1, Paragraphs 0004-0008; Claims 1-3). Harmon allows for an option embodiment where the reselling of an item can be for a greater price and thus can be attributed to the present invention, as recited in claim 1. The Examiner notes that the method of Claim 1 represents actions which can not be effectively claimed. In particular, a campaign designed to educate; flags being arranged so as to stimulate an emotional response; to heighten the emotional response.

3 - Lastly, the Examiner notes that the currently amended claims as submitted by the Applicants (mail date: 5 November 2010) raise Claim Objections and/or 35 U.S.C. 112 issues which necessitate the undertaking of an additional search/reconsideration. Additionally, the Examiner has attached the currently proposed amendments of the claims (mail date: 5 November 2010) and have included these claims (NOT TO BE ENTERED) as part of the record for purpose of appeal.